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## Report of Laboratory Analysis

Thisreportshouldnotbereproduced, exceptinfull, withoutthewrittenconsentofPaceAnalyticalServices,Inc.

## DISCUSSION

This report presents the results from the analyses performed on three samples submitted by a representative of the City of Otsego. The samples were analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorodibenzofurans (PCDFs) using USEPA Method 1613B. The reporting limits were based on signal-to-noise measurements. Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) values were treated as positives in the toxic equivalence calculations. This report was revised to provide estimated detection limits.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extracts ranged from $48-110 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for this project were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native $2,3,7,8$-substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for recovery and accurate values were obtained.

Values were flagged "I" where incorrect isotope ratios were obtained. Concentrations below the calibration range were flagged "J" and should be regarded as estimates.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with the sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results show the blank to contain a trace level of OCDD. This level was below the calibration range of the method. Also, OCDD was not detected in the field samples.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared using clean reference matrix that had been fortified with native standard materials. The recoveries of the native compounds ranged from $85-112 \%$ with relative percent differences of $1.1-14.1 \%$. These results were all within the target ranges for the method. Matrix spikes were not prepared with the sample batch.

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Minnesota Laboratory Certifications

| Authority | Certificate \# | Authority | Certificate \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2LA | 2926.01 | Minnesota - Pet | 1240 |
| Alabama | 40770 | Mississippi | MN00064 |
| Alaska - DW | MN00064 | Missouri - DW | 10100 |
| Alaska - UST | 17-009 | Montana | CERT0092 |
| Arizona | AZ0014 | Nebraska | NE-OS-18-06 |
| Arkansas - DW | MN00064 | Nevada | MN00064 |
| Arkansas - WW | 88-0680 | New Hampshire | 2081 |
| CNMI Saipan | MP0003 | New Jersey (NE | MN002 |
| California | 2929 | New York | 11647 |
| Colorado | MN00064 | North Carolina | 27700 |
| Connecticut | PH-0256 | North Carolina - | 27700 |
| EPA Region 8+ | via MN 027-053 | North Carolina - | 530 |
| Florida (NELAP | E87605 | North Dakota | R-036 |
| Georgia | 959 | Ohio - DW | 41244 |
| Guam | 17-001r | Ohio - VAP | CL101 |
| Hawaii | MN00064 | Oklahoma | 9507 |
| Idaho | MN00064 | Oregon - Primar | MN300001 |
| Illinois | 200011 | Oregon - Secon | MN200001 |
| Indiana | C-MN-01 | Pennsylvania | 68-00563 |
| lowa | 368 | Puerto Rico | MN00064 |
| Kansas | E-10167 | South Carolina | 74003 |
| Kentucky - DW | 90062 | South Dakota | NA |
| Kentucky - WW | 90062 | Tennessee | TN02818 |
| Louisiana - DE | 03086 | Texas | T104704192 |
| Louisiana - DW | MN00064 | Utah (NELAP) | MN00064 |
| Maine | MN00064 | Virginia | 460163 |
| Maryland | 322 | Washington | C486 |
| Massachusetts | M-MN064 | West Virginia - | 382 |
| Michigan | 9909 | West Virginia - | 9952C |
| Minnesota | 027-053-137 | Wisconsin | 999407970 |
| Minnesota - De | via MN 027-053 | Wyoming - UST | 2926.01 |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Appendix A

## Sample Management

| PaceAnalytical Document Name： Document Revised：02May2018 <br>  Sample Condition Upon Receipt Form Page 1 of 2 <br>  Document No．： Issuing Authority： <br> F－MN－L－213－rev．23 Pace Minnesota Quality Office  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Sample Condition
Upon Receipt


USDA Regulated Soil（ $\sigma$ N／A，water sample）
Did samples originate in a quarantine zone within the United States：AL，AR，CA，FL，GA，ID，LA．MS，Did samples originate from a foreign source（internationally， NC，NM，NY，OK，OR，SC，TN，TX or VA（check maps）？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No including Hawaii and Puerto Rico）？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No If Yes to either question，fill out a Regulated Soil Checklist（F－MN－Q－338）and include with SCUR／COC paperwork．

|  | COMMENTS： |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chain of Custody Present？DYes $\square$ No | 1. |
| Chain of Custody Filled Out？$\square$－$\square_{\text {No }}$ | 2. |
| Chain of Custody Relinquished？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No | 3. |
| Sampler Name and／or Signature on COC？价（27）es z／vo 口N／A | 4. |
| Samples Arrived within Hold Time？$\quad$－Yes $\square$ No | 5. |
|  | 6. |
| Rush Turn Around Time Requested？ДYes ■No | 7. |
| Sufficient Volume？Dres $\square$ No | 8. |
| Correct Containers Used？ <br> －Pace Containers Used？ | 9. |
| Containers Intact？$\square$ JYes | 10. |
| Filtered Volume Received for Dissolved Tests？$\square$ Yes ■No Din／A | 11．Note if sediment is visible in the dissolved container |
| Is sufficient information available to reconcile the samples to Dres the COC？ Matrix： $\qquad$ $\square$ No | 12．Date on containers says 4／6／18 |
| All containers needing acid／base preservation have been checked？ <br> All containers needing preservation are found to be in compliance with EPA recommendation？ <br> $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4},<2 \mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{NaOH}>9\right.$ Sulfide， $\mathrm{NaOH}>12$ Cyanide） <br> Exceptions：VOA，Coliform，TOC／DOC Oil and Grease， <br> DRO／8015（water）and Dioxin／PFAS | 13． $\square \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ $\square \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \quad \square \mathrm{NaOH}$ <br> Sample \＃ Positive for Res． <br> Chlorine？ Y N  <br>    <br> Initial when <br> completed： Lot \＃of added  |
| Headspace in VOA Vials（ $>6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ）？$\square$ ？$\square_{\text {es }} \square$ No $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 14. |
| Trip Blank Present？ $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ $\square$ No <br> Trip Blank Custody Seals Present？ $\square \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{A}$  <br> Pace Trip Blank Lot \＃（if purchased）： $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}$ | 15. |

## CLIENT NOTIFICATION／RESOLUTION

Person Contacted：

Field Data Required？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No Date／Time： $\qquad$

Comments／Resolution：

## Project Manager Review：



Date：
9－7－18
Note：Whenever there is a discrepancy affectig North Carolina compliance samples，a copy of this form will be sent to the North Carolina DEHNR Certification Office（i．e out of hold，incorrect preservative，out of temp，incowect containers）．

## Reporting Flags

$A=$ Reporting Limit based on signal to noise
$B=$ Less than 10x higher than method blank level
$C=$ Result obtained from confirmation analysis
$D=$ Result obtained from analysis of diluted sample
$E=E x c e e d s$ calibration range
I = Interferencepresent
$J=$ Estimated value
$L=$ Suppressive interference, analyte may be biased low
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
$P=P C D E$ Interference
$R=$ Recovery outside target range
$S=$ Peak saturated
$\mathrm{U}=$ Analyte not detected
$\mathrm{V}=$ Result verified by confirmation analysis
$X=\% D$ Exceedslimits
$Y=$ Calculated using average of daily RFs

* $=$ SeeDiscussion


## Appendix B

## Sample Analysis Summary

## Method 1613B Sample Analysis Results

Client - City of Otsego MI

Client's Sample ID Lab Sample ID Filename Injected By
Total Amount Extracted \% Moisture
Dry Weight Extracted ICAL ID
CCal Filename(s)
Method Blank ID

Well 3 A, B,C, D
10446574001
U180913B_11
ZMS
1000 mL
NA
NA
U180911
U180913B 01
BLANK-64562


## REPORTOF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## Method 1613B Sample Analysis Results

Client - City of Otsego MI

Client's Sample ID Lab Sample ID Filename Injected By Total Amount Extracted \% Moisture
Dry Weight Extracted ICAL ID
CCal Filename(s)
Method Blank ID

Well 4 A,B,C,D
10446574005
U180913B_12
ZMS
992 mL
NA
NA
U180911
U180913B 01
BLANK-64562

| Native Isomers | Conc pg/L | EMPC pg/L | EDL pg/L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | ----- | 1.5 |
| Total TCDF | ND |  | 1.5 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----- | 1.5 |
| Total TCDD | ND | ----- | 1.5 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 1.1 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 0.93 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | ----- | 0.99 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | ----- | 0.55 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ----- | 0.55 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.77 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 1.0 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.41 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND |  | 0.62 |
| Total HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.71 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 1.0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.76 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.75 |
| Total HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.85 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 0.83 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 1.3 |
| Total HpCDF | ND | ----- | 1.1 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | ----- | 0.83 |
| Total HpCDD | ND | ----- | 0.83 |
| OCDF | ND | ----- | 0.72 |
| OCDD | ND | ----- | 2.1 |

Conc = Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
EDL = Estimated Detection Limit

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Collected | $09 / 06 / 2018$ | $12: 40$ |
| Received | $09 / 07 / 2018$ | $09: 40$ |
| Extracted | $09 / 10 / 2018$ | $13: 05$ |
| Analyzed | 09/13/2018 | $19: 11$ |

Internal ng's

Standards Added
2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C
2.00
2.00

Percent Recovery

63
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C OCDD-13C

1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C 2.00
NA
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C
2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4
0.20

66

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## Method 1613B Sample Analysis Results

Client - City of Otsego MI

Client's Sample ID Lab Sample ID Filename Injected By Total Amount Extracted \% Moisture
Dry Weight Extracted ICAL ID
CCal Filename(s)
Method Blank ID

## Native

Isomers

Well 5 A,B,C,D
10446574009
U180913B_13
ZMS
955 mL
NA
NA
U180911
U180913B 01
BLANK-64562

| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | ----- | 1.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total TCDF | ND | ----- | 1.7 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----- | 1.9 |
| Total TCDD | ND | ----- | 1.9 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 0.80 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND |  | 0.45 |
| Total PeCDF | ND |  | 0.63 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | ----- | 0.66 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ----- | 0.66 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.25 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.32 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.29 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.59 |
| Total HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.36 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.65 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.57 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.40 |
| Total HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.54 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 0.93 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 0.83 |
| Total HpCDF | ND | ----- | 0.88 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | ----- | 1.1 |
| Total HpCDD | ND | ----- | 1.1 |
| OCDF | ND | ----- | 0.61 |
| OCDD | ND | ----- | 2.3 |

Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
EDL = Estimated Detection Limit

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Collected | $09 / 06 / 2018$ | $13: 25$ |
| Received | $09 / 07 / 2018$ | $09: 40$ |
| Extracted | $09 / 10 / 2018$ | $13: 05$ |
| Analyzed | 09/13/2018 | $19: 58$ |

Internal ng's

2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C
2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C
$1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}-13 \mathrm{C}$
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C
OCDD-13C
1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C 2.00
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C
2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4

Total 2,3,7,8-TCDD
Equivalence: $0.00 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$
(Lower-bound - Using 2005 WHO Factors)

Added
Percent Recovery

## Method 1613B Blank Analysis Results

Lab Sample Name
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted ICAL ID
CCal Filename(s)

DFBLKFC
BLANK-64562
F180912A_09
1040 mL
F180911
F180911B_18

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Matrix | Water |  |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $09 / 10 / 2018$ | $13: 05$ |
| Analyzed | $09 / 12 / 2018$ | $13: 28$ |
| Injected By | SMT |  |


| Native Isomers | Conc pg/L | EMPC pg/L | EDL <br> pg/L | Internal Standards | ng's <br> Added | Percent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | ---- | 2.0 | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
| Total TCDF | ND | ----- | 2.0 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 90 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----- | 2.7 | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 82 |
| Total TCDD | ND | ----- | 2.7 | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 1.1 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 85 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 0.70 | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 93 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | ----- | 0.90 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 81 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | ---- | 1.4 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 80 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ----- | 1.4 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 87 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.68 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.72 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 75 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 1.0 | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | ----- | 0.79 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.94 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 0.20 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.99 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.91 |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | ----- | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 0.98 | Total 2,3,7,8-TCDD |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 1.0 | Equivalence: $0.00098 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | ----- | 1.00 | (Lower-bound - Using 2005 | WHO Fa |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | ----- | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | ----- | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| OCDF | ND | ----- | 2.3 |  |  |  |
| OCDD | 3.3 | ----- | 1.8 |  |  |  |

$J=$ Estimated value

## REPORTOF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL ID
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCS-64563
F180912A 06
1050 mL
F180911
F180911B 18
BLANK-64562

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $09 / 10 / 2018$ | $13: 05$ |
| Analyzed | 09/12/2018 | $11: 15$ |
| Injected By | SMT |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 89 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 87 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 46 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 92 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 46 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 91 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 44 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 45 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 90 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 44 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 45 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 91 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 46 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 103 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 51 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 102 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 50 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 42 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 85 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 45 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 89 |
| OCDF | 100 | 96 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 96 |
| OCDD | 100 | 95 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 95 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37C14 | 10 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 85 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 78 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 78 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 80 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 80 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 97 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 97 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 99 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 99 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 110 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 110 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 83 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 83 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 90 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 90 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 98 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 98 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 100 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 102 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 88 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 88 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 86 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 86 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 86 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 86 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 98 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 98 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 94 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 94 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 170 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 85 |

Cs = Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$R=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
*=See Discussion

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL ID
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCSD-64564
F180912A 07
1030 mL
F180911
F180911B 18
BLANK-64562

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $09 / 10 / 2018$ | $13: 05$ |
| Analyzed | 09/12/2018 | $12: 00$ |
| Injected By | SMT |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 97 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 96 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 51 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 47 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 52 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 103 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 50 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 100 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 46 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 53 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 106 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 52 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 56 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 112 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 52 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 105 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 46 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 47 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 94 |
| OCDF | 100 | 110 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 106 |
| OCDD | 100 | 98 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 98 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37Cl4 | 10 | 9.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 99 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 100 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 100 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 110 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 112 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 120 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 117 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 130 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 132 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 98 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 98 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 100 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 102 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 110 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 112 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 120 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 117 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 98 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 98 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 100 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 102 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 97 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 110 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 106 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 110 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 106 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 180 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 91 |

Cs = Concentration Spiked ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
$R=$ Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
*=See Discussion

## Method 1613B

## Spike Recovery Relative Percent Difference (RPD) Results

| Client City of Otsego MI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spike 1 ID LCS-64563 <br> Spike 1 Filename F180912A 06 |  | Spike 2 ID Spike 2 Filename | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD-64564 } \\ & \text { F180912A } 07 \end{aligned}$ |
| Compound | Spike 1 \%REC | Spike 2 <br> \%REC | \%RPD |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89 | 97 | 8.6 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 87 | 96 | 9.8 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 92 | 102 | 10.3 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 91 | 95 | 4.3 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99 | 103 | 4.0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 90 | 100 | 10.5 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88 | 94 | 6.6 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 91 | 92 | 1.1 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92 | 106 | 14.1 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 103 | 105 | 1.9 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 102 | 112 | 9.3 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 99 | 105 | 5.9 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 85 | 92 | 7.9 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 89 | 94 | 5.5 |
| OCDF | 96 | 106 | 9.9 |
| OCDD | 95 | 98 | 3.1 |

[^0]
[^0]:    \%REC = Percent Recovered
    RPD = The difference between the two values divided by the mean value

